



Environmental Liability Directive (ELD)
STAKEHOLDER WORKSHOP

# Case studies assessed by ISPRA under ELD National Legislation

Albert Borschette Conference Center, Brussels - 22 November 2022

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

#### CASES OF ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE

- 1. CASE STUDY 1 Groundwater damage
- 2. CASE STUDY 2 Surface water and biodiversity damage
- 3. CASE STUDY 3 Land damage
- 4. CASE STUDY 4 Biodiversity damage

## **Groundwater damage**



## Diffusion of perchlorethylene (PCE) in GWB from industrial site

**INTENTIONAL SPILLAGE OF PCE** — Damaging occurrence, Damage factors, Adverse effects

- ✓ Intentional spillage of halogenated solvents
- ✓ Extensive pollution by PCE of the deep aquifer used for water supply
- ✓ Contamination still ongoing, secondary source not yet eliminated/isolated
- ✓ Ineffectiveness of the intervention in containing contamination within the site

Almost 14 Km<sup>2</sup> of territory and 42.000.000 m<sup>3</sup> of contaminated GWB

## Diffusion of perchlorethylene (PCE) in GWB from industrial site

#### **INTENTIONAL SPILLAGE OF PCE – Damage under ELD**

- ✓ Non-compliance with drinking water limits and threshold values for the GWB good chemical status
- ✓ Baseline condition of GWB available
- ✓ Partialisation of the GWB
- ✓ Decay of the chemical status and loss of the aquifer for drinking purposes

Groundwater Damage + Interim loss of water supply services + Threat of further GW damage

Complementary (Primary not feasible) + Compensatory remediation + Preventive measures of further damage

# Diffusion of perchlorethylene (PCE) in GWB from industrial site REMARKS

- ✓ High risk of GWD for continuous and long-term contamination
- ✓ Too much time needed to assess and remediate GWD
- ✓ Primary remediation of deep acquifers too complicated for DNAPL
- ✓ Key role of coordination among competent authorities for WFD/GWD/IED enforcement and police officers, prosecutors
- ✓ Risk based assessments and preventive measures for reducing the risk of groundwater damage by Annex III activities

## Surface water and biodiversity damage

## Outflow of sediments from a dam during emergency spillage

**EMERGENCY SPILLAGE OF A DAM —** Damaging occurrence, Damage factors, Adverse effects

- ✓ Uncontrolled spillage of a dam
- ✓ Accumulation of significant quantities of sediments (Creek and River)
- ✓ Significant growth of water turbidity
- ✓ Alteration of the river morphology and habitat (about 6 km)
- ✓ **Death** of about **1 ton of fish**, including **5 protected species**

## Outflow of sediments from a dam during emergency spillage

#### **EMERGENCY SPILLAGE OF A DAM – Damage under ELD**

## **Surface water + Biodiversity damage**

- ✓ Baseline of ecological status and species available
- ✓ Deterioration of the ecological status of the surface water bodies for about 6 months
- ✓ Zeroing and/or reduction of density for 5 protected species including recovery/recolonization difficulties for the conditions of the torrential bed
- ✓ Temporary loss of ecosystem services: supporting services for biodiversity, regulating services and recreational services

#### **Protected species**

- 1) Barbus caninus
- 2) Cobitis bilineata
- 3) Rutilus rubilio
- 4) Telestes muticellus
- 5) Cottus gobio

## **Primary + Compensatory remediation**





# Outflow of sediments from a dam during emergency spillage REMARKS

- ✓ Key role of quick intervention of local authorities for environmental data collection
- ✓ Baseline condition available for previous monitoring of the aquatic species and ecosystem
- ✓ Too much time needed for claiming environmental liability and remedial measures through the legal procedure
- ✓ Relevant number of monitoring activities to determine the water and biodiversity damage

## Land damage



## Biogas contamination from improper dumping

**IMPROPER DISPOSAL OF WASTE** - Damaging occurrence, Damage factors, Adverse effects

- ✓ Improper disposal of industrial waste (foundry slag and "mixed" waste) in an old quarry
- ✓ Biogas and other hazardous substances in soil (soil gas) in residential and commercial areas
- ✓ Remediation measures still in progress



## Biogas contamination from improper dumping

#### **IMPROPER DISPOSAL OF WASTE – Damage under ELD**

- ✓ Soil gas concentrations within the range of explosivity of methane
- ✓ Very high concentrations of organohalogen compounds and aromatic compounds
- ✓ Migration of biogas to the surrounding residential and commercial areas outside the industrial site
- ✓ Concentrations of biogas and substances (COV) generatig a significant risk to human health

Land Damage + Imminent threat of further damage

**Primary remediation (still ongoing)** 

## Biogas contamination from improper dumping

#### **REMARKS**

- ✓ Historical industrial contaminated site and related improper waste disposal
- ✓ Assessment under ELD was requested pursuing art. 12 by the municipality
- ✓ The only responsible party for the reclamation of the area is the old operator of the industrial site
- ✓ New residential and commercial areas in the vicinity
- ✓ Forced biogas extraction, filtering and destruction plants as emergency safety containment measures to protect residentials
- ✓ Frequent monitoring campaigns required to control effectiveness of the containment system
- ✓ The risk of explosion made the assessment on risk to human health faster

## **Biodiversity damage**



## Reduction of population of a protected species

**ILLEGAL PICKING OF DATE MUSSEL -** Damaging occurrence, Damage factors, Adverse effects

- ✓ Illegal picking and trade of the protected species Lithophaga lithophaga (date mussel)
- ✓ Reduction of the population of the species on marine coast environment for several kilometers
- ✓ Reduction of habitat for other species (date mussel is an umbrella species).
- ✓ Alteration of biological communities associated with the species (date mussel is an umbrella species) and the marine ecosystem in general of the cliff environments

Protected under Bern and Barcelona Conventions, included in Annex IV of Habitats Directive and subject to Annex II to the Washington Convention (C.I.T.E.S.).

## Reduction of population of a protected species

#### **ILLEGAL PICKING OF DATE MUSSEL — Damage under ELD**

- ✓ Baseline condition represented by intact areas adjacent to those damaged
- ✓ **Significant** and effectively measured **impairment of the population** of the species *Lithophaga lithophaga* (natural recovery in 20-60 years)
- ✓ **Structural and functional alteration** of the entire ecosystem due to loss of ecosystem service (natural recovery in more than a century)
- ✓ Costly restoration by transplantation not relevant or successful

Monitoring activities, conducted by means of series of diving activities over 4 months, on 30 sites

#### Illegal picking and trade of the protected species

#### **REMARKS**

- ✓ Non Annex III activity, no «occupational activity» involved but «activity» of criminal organisation with manifest misconduct
- ✓ Restoration of the populations of Lithophaga lithophaga must take place naturally and it is very long
- ✓ Periodic monitoring is needed to assess the progress of recolonisation by the species and any disturbing factors which may hinder its correct natural recovery
- ✓ Primary remediation through long lasting monitoring to be conducted by specialised experts of the competent authority
- ✓ Complex allocation of costs (different subjects involved) for remediation compensation







## **THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!**

**Eng. Francesco Andreotti** 

ISPRA (Italian Institute for the Environmental Protection and Research)

francesco.andreotti@isprambiente.it + 39 06 50072424; +39 329 4110852